A LIGHTPAPER ON CLINICAL UX (27)

Approaches to Decrease the Cognitive Load of ICU Doctors and Nurses Through Innovative Digital UX Design

How computational modeling and purpose-built clinical interfaces can replace data overload with instant hemodynamic insight, reducing cognitive burden and improving patient safety in critical care.

AUTHORS

Dmytro Lopushanskyy — Seattle Children's Hospital, ex-SickKids Toronto

Sergiy Sumnikov — Halo Lab

CONTACT

sergiy@halo-lab.health

halo-lab.health

EDITION

2026

SECTION 00

About the Authors

Dmytro Lopushanskyy

AI TECHNICAL LEAD · SEATTLE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL

Dmytro Lopushanskyy is a clinician-researcher and digital health innovator at Seattle Children's Hospital, and formerly of the Hospital for Sick Children (SickKids) in Toronto. His work focuses on computational approaches to hemodynamic monitoring and clinical decision support in pediatric critical care.

Sergiy Sumnikov

GENERAL MANAGER · HALO LAB

Sergiy Sumnikov is the General Manager at Halo Lab, a design and development company specializing in complex digital products for healthcare and enterprise. He regularly attends leading healthtech events including HLTH, Frontier Health, and DMEA, where he engages with clinical leaders and digital innovators shaping the future of hospital technology.

01

When Data Becomes the Problem

INTRODUCTION

When Data Becomes the Problem

A lightpaper for clinicians and digital innovators inside hospitals who know the problem intimately and are looking for a structured approach to solving it.

Intensive care is among the most data-rich environments in modern medicine. A typical ICU bed generates over fifty simultaneous data streams at any given moment: arterial pressure waveforms, heart rate, oxygen saturation, ventilator parameters, infusion rates, laboratory values, temperature readings, and dozens of alarm signals. The promise of this data abundance was better, faster clinical decisions. In many cases, the opposite has happened.

The clinicals we've met at healthtech events like HLTH, Frontier Health, DMEA has named one problem surfaces more consistently: *the interfaces clinicians rely on are designed to display everything that can be measured, not to help clinicians understand what those measurements mean.*

Some call it alarm fatigue: the desensitization that occurs when clinicians are exposed to hundreds of non-actionable alerts per shift. Others describe cognitive overload: the moment when the volume of information exceeds working memory capacity and clinical reasoning degrades. Hospital technology leaders talk about “monitor blindness” — the paradox of screens everywhere but insight nowhere.

These are not separate problems. They are symptoms of a single design failure. And that design failure is what this lightpaper addresses: exploring how **purpose-built digital UX design** can fundamentally reduce the cognitive burden on ICU clinicians and improve patient safety.

This lightpaper is written for clinicians and digital innovators inside hospitals who know the problem intimately and are looking for a structured approach to solving it.

THE HIDDEN CRISIS

Cognitive Overload in Critical Care

The intensive care unit is one of the most cognitively demanding work environments on the planet. Behind the controlled atmosphere of monitors and protocols lies an overwhelming reality: clinicians are drowning in data while starving for insight.

A typical ICU bed generates over 50 simultaneous data streams at any given moment. Arterial pressure waveforms, heart rate, oxygen saturation, ventilator parameters, IV drip rates, lab values, temperature readings, and dozens of alarm signals compete for the attention of doctors and nurses who must make life-or-death decisions in seconds. Yet neuroscience research consistently shows that the human brain can process only 9 to 11 variables simultaneously.

TAKE HERE

The numbers behind a quiet patient-safety crisis.

50+

simultaneous data streams per ICU bed

9-11

variables the human brain can process at once

85%

of nurses report feeling overwhelmed by alarms

The consequences are measurable and severe. Alarm fatigue, a well-documented phenomenon where clinicians become desensitized to the constant barrage of alerts, affects the majority of ICU staff. Research published in *Frontiers in Public Health* found that alarm fatigue involves dynamic shifts between cognitive states, with overload being the immediate trigger for desensitization. Over 60% of alarms in critical care do not receive timely responses, and the Emergency Care Research Institute has directly linked alarm misses to patient harm resulting from cognitive overload.

It is fundamentally a design problem. The interfaces that clinicians rely on were built to display every measurable parameter, not to synthesize information into actionable insight. And that gap between raw data and clinical understanding is where patients are put at risk.

> “The ICU is a jungle of screens and cables. We show what we can measure, not what we need to know.”

IP

SOURCE

ICU Physician, Seattle Children's Hospital

02

The Gap Between Measurement and Meaning

SECTION 02

The Gap Between Measurement and Meaning

In order to understand why the current ICU interfaces are ineffective for clinicians, we must examine the nature of the data itself and how it is presented. The core challenge is not that there is too much data. Rather, it is that the data is not suitable for clinical decision-making.

What monitors show vs. what clinicians need

Standard bedside monitors display directly measurable signals: arterial pressure waveforms, ECG traces, pulse oximetry, central venous pressure. These are the raw inputs. But what a clinician actually needs to know are the latent variables: How strongly is the heart contracting? What resistance are the blood vessels creating? Is there adequate blood volume in the system?

These latent parameters — cardiac contractility, vascular resistance, filling status — determine the correct treatment: whether to give fluids, start a vasopressor, or adjust an inotrope. Currently, experienced intensivists perform this translation mentally, synthesizing multiple data streams through pattern recognition built over years of training. This cognitive process is error-prone under stress, varies between clinicians, and becomes nearly impossible when a single physician monitors dozens of critically ill patients overnight.

COMPARISON

What monitors display vs. what clinicians need to know

WHAT MONITORS DISPLAY	WHAT CLINICIANS NEED TO KNOW
Arterial pressure waveform	**Cardiac contractility (pump strength)**
Central venous pressure numbers	**Intravascular volume status**
Heart rate, SpO2 values	**Cardiac output (flow per minute)**
Multiple pressure readings	**Systemic vascular resistance**
Dozens of numerical time series	**Integrated hemodynamic picture**

SECTION 02

The alarm fatigue spiral.

The problem compounds through a vicious cycle. Each monitoring parameter added to the display creates more alarms. More alarms lead to desensitization. Desensitization leads to missed critical events. Missed events lead to calls for more monitoring. A 2025 qualitative study published in *Frontiers in Public Health*, conducted at West China Hospital, applied Cognitive Load Theory to understand the mechanisms of alarm fatigue in ICU nursing. The researchers found that alarm fatigue involves dynamic shifts among three cognitive states — cognitive reserve deficit, cognitive load balance, and cognitive overload — with overload being the immediate trigger for desensitization [1]. The U.S. National Patient Safety Goals have identified alarm fatigue as a priority clinical safety issue for twelve consecutive years, from 2014 through 2025 [1].

A separate 2025 cross-sectional study in *BMC Nursing*, conducted at a German university hospital, confirmed significant psychophysiological effects of alarm exposure on ICU nurses [2]. And a 2025 study in the *Journal of Clinical Nursing* identified that alarm management support and job satisfaction were independently associated with reduced alarm fatigue, proposing three strategic themes for mitigation: building an alarm management culture, creating a safe care environment, and improving individual competencies [3].

THE EHR BURDEN

The EHR cognitive burden.

Beyond bedside monitors, the electronic health record (EHR) itself is a major contributor to cognitive overload. A 2024 narrative review in *JMIR Medical Informatics* established that EHR use is a direct contributor to cognitive overload and clinician burnout, noting that working memory can hold only 3 to 5 items simultaneously — yet EHR interfaces routinely demand processing of dozens of variables at once [4]. A cognitive task analysis published in the *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* identified 145 unique cognitive demands of using EHR systems and reached a central conclusion: EHRs do not help clinicians develop and maintain awareness of the “big picture” of a patient [5].

A 2025 randomized controlled trial protocol in *JMIR Research Protocols*, spanning four major U.S. medical centers, is now investigating whether information visualization dashboards can reduce cognitive fatigue among ICU providers — acknowledging that clinicians spend up to half their workday interacting with EHRs [6]. A 2025 systematic review in the *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* examined how visual summarization of EHR data supports clinical reasoning, finding that well-designed visualization can reduce cognitive load and enable faster pattern recognition in data-dense ICU environments [7].

> *“The ICU is a jungle of screens and cables. We show what we can measure, not what we need to know.”*

The research is converging on a clear conclusion: the solution is not more data on more screens. It is fundamentally better digital interface design — interfaces that synthesize information rather than simply displaying it.

03

Computational Modeling as a Bridge: The iCVS Approach

SECTION 03

Computational Modeling as a Bridge

The iCVS Approach

If the gap between what monitors show and what clinicians need is the core problem, the natural question is: can computation bridge that gap? Recent work in cardiovascular modeling suggests it can.

In 2023, a team of researchers from the Technion, Brown University, and the Hospital for Sick Children (SickKids) in Toronto published a model called iCVS (inferring CardioVascular States) in *PLOS Computational Biology* [8]. iCVS is a mechanistic dynamical model that estimates hidden cardiovascular parameters from routinely available bedside signals — specifically, arterial and venous pressure waveforms.

The model uses differential equations of cardiovascular physiology to infer latent states such as cardiac contractility, vascular resistance, filling pressures, and autonomic modulations. Critically, it requires no prior assumptions on physiological parameters beyond age and weight, and relies exclusively on signals that are already being collected at every ICU bedside. The authors validated the model on a dataset of critically ill children, demonstrating its ability to identify bleeding, distributive states, and cardiac dysfunction, both in isolation and in combination.

As the authors note, iCVS formalizes the mental model that experienced clinicians construct intuitively: estimating hidden physiological states from partial observations. The model performs this estimation mathematically, consistently, and without fatigue.

THE DESIGN CHALLENGE

From numbers to clinical insight.

What a computational model like iCVS produces is still a set of numbers: parameter estimates that are more clinically relevant than raw signals but still require interpretation. Cardiac contractility of 0.7, vascular resistance of 1200 dynes — these are meaningful to a researcher but still demand cognitive effort from a clinician at 3 AM.

The critical question becomes: how do we present these derived insights in a way that leverages the clinician's visual cognition rather than adding to their numerical burden? This is the point where digital UX design becomes essential — and where our work at Halo Lab connects directly to the computational science.

A clinician should be able to glance at a screen and see, within two seconds: a weakly contracting heart, dilated vessels, low blood volume. The diagnosis is immediate. The treatment is clear. All without reading a single number. Achieving this requires translating each model parameter into an intuitive visual property: chamber size maps to volume status, contraction intensity maps to contractility, vessel diameter maps to resistance, flow animation speed maps to cardiac output, and color zones map to risk thresholds.

This is not illustration. It is information architecture — a systematic mapping of clinical meaning to visual form, designed for the worst moment of a clinician's shift, not the average one.

04

Digital UX Design Principles for High-Stakes Clinical Environments

SECTION 04

Digital UX Design Principles for High-Stakes Clinical Environments

Designing clinical decision support interfaces for intensive care is fundamentally different from designing consumer applications, enterprise dashboards, or even standard healthcare IT. The stakes are absolute, the users are domain experts under extreme cognitive load, and the environment is chaotic. Our approach at Halo Lab, refined through direct collaboration with critical care teams, follows four core principles.

01 Overview first, details on demand

The interface should provide an immediate gestalt impression of the patient's hemodynamic state within two seconds. If the clinician needs specifics, they can access exact numerical values. The visualization does not replace numbers — it provides a rapid cognitive entry point that makes the numbers meaningful when they are needed. This approach directly addresses the finding that EHR interfaces fail to support “big picture” awareness [5].

02 Reduce cognitive load, never increase it

Every design decision is evaluated against a single criterion: does this make the clinician's job easier or harder? Visual elements that require specialized training, abstract metaphors disconnected from clinical reality, or decorative elements competing for attention are excluded. The visual language must be intuitive enough that a clinician can read it after a brief orientation. As the *JAMIA* systematic review noted, well-designed visualization tools can alleviate cognitive overload, enabling clinicians to process patient data more efficiently [7].

CONTINUED

Principles 03 — 04.

03 Anatomical fidelity without photorealism

For cardiovascular visualization, the representation should be anatomically recognizable — close enough that any physician immediately identifies the structures — but stylized for instant readability on a monitor. Photorealistic 3D would be distracting and slower to parse. Pure abstraction would lose the physiological grounding that gives clinicians confidence. The target is the clarity of a medical textbook illustration, optimized for digital display.

04 Design for the worst moment, not the average one

The tool's greatest value comes during emergencies: when a patient is rapidly deteriorating and the clinician needs to understand the situation in seconds. At 3 AM, when a single intensivist covers dozens of critically ill children, the interface must communicate the hemodynamic state instantly, unambiguously, and correctly. This drives decisions about color coding (red for critical, yellow for attention, green for normal), animation clarity, and the prominence of risk indicators.

2s
TARGET TIME TO INSIGHT

3 AM
THE WORST-MOMENT BENCHMARK

4
CORE DESIGN PRINCIPLES

05

From Bedside to Central Station: Scalable Interface Design

SECTION 05

From Bedside to Central Station

Scalable Interface Design

One of the most demanding design challenges in ICU environments is creating an interface that works across radically different contexts: from a full-screen bedside display for a single patient to a compact indicator on a central monitoring station overseeing dozens or hundreds of patients simultaneously.

CONTEXT	FORMAT	PRIMARY FUNCTION
Bedside screen	Full-screen, single patient	**Detailed hemodynamic assessment with drill-down to values**
Central station	Compact view per patient	**Rapid triage: who is stable, who needs attention, who is critical**
Mobile / tablet	Medium format, on the move	**Quick checks during rounds and shift handoffs**

The central station view is particularly critical. When a physician is responsible for over a hundred patients across multiple units, they need a visual triage system. Each patient can be represented as a compact hemodynamic indicator whose shape and color immediately communicate clinical status: stable, requires monitoring, or needs immediate intervention. This replaces the current practice of scrolling through dozens of numerical readouts to identify which patients need attention.

Web-based architecture ensures the interface performs on existing hospital hardware. Animations and visual elements are optimized for browser rendering, balancing visual fidelity with performance on equipment that may not be the latest generation. This pragmatic approach ensures the tool works in real clinical environments, not just in demonstration settings.

LOOKING AHEAD

The clinical sandbox.

Beyond real-time monitoring, computational cardiovascular models open the door to predictive decision support. In future iterations, clinicians could explore hypothetical scenarios: how will hemodynamics change if we adjust a vasopressor dose? What happens with a fluid bolus? The mathematical model can simulate these interventions, and the digital interface can present the predicted outcome before the clinician commits to action. This transforms the tool from a passive monitor into an active clinical reasoning partner.

06

Key Takeaways for Clinicians and Digital Innovators

SECTION 06

Key Takeaways for Clinicians and Digital Innovators

01 Cognitive load is a patient safety issue.

When clinicians are overwhelmed by data, critical signals get missed. Reducing cognitive burden through better digital UX design is not about comfort — it is about preventing harm [1, 4].

02 More data is not better data.

Adding measurements to already-saturated displays worsens the problem. The solution is synthesis: transforming multiple data streams into integrated, intuitive visual representations [7].

03 Visual processing is the clinician's superpower.

The human visual system can instantly assess complex spatial relationships, motion patterns, and color gradients. Effective clinical digital interfaces should leverage this capability rather than ignore it.

CONTINUED

Takeaways 04 — 06.

04 Computational models bridge measurement and meaning.

By computing latent clinical parameters from raw signals [8], these models give clinicians access to the information they need for decision-making — but only if that information is presented through well-designed digital interfaces.

05 Scalable digital design matters.

An interface that works at the bedside but fails at the central station is incomplete. ICU tools must serve clinicians across every context: bedside, central monitoring, rounds, and handoffs.

06 The gap is in presentation, not computation.

The mathematical models and the clinical data already exist. What is missing is the digital UX design layer that translates computational output into instant clinical understanding.

As healthcare technology continues to evolve, the organizations that will make the greatest impact are those that understand a fundamental truth: the value of data is not in its collection but in its comprehension. The question is not how much we can measure, but how effectively we can communicate what those measurements mean for the patient in the bed.

That is a digital UX design problem. And it is solvable.

SOURCES

References

[1] Zhu L, Wei S, An Y, Hu W, Xie X (2025). Mechanism, Contributing Factors, and Coping Strategies of Alarm Fatigue in Intensive Care Nursing: A Qualitative Study. *Front Public Health*, 13, 1654389. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2025.1654389

[2] Hohenwallner A, Ufelmann M, Ellermeyer A, et al. (2025). Assessment of Alarm Fatigue Among Intensive Care Unit Nurses: A Cross-Sectional Study. *BMC Nurs*, 24, 1157. doi:10.1186/s12912-025-03781-8

[3] Uçak N, et al. (2025). Nurses' Alarm Fatigue Levels in Adult Intensive Care Units and Their Strategies to Reduce Fatigue: A Convergent Parallel Design. *J Clin Nurs*, 34, e17644. doi:10.1111/jocn.17644

[4] Sbaffi L, et al. (2024). Impact of Electronic Health Record Use on Cognitive Load and Burnout Among Clinicians: Narrative Review. *JMIR Med Inform*, 12, e55499. doi:10.2196/55499

[5] Ratwani RM, et al. (2020). Analysis of the Cognitive Demands of Electronic Health Record Use. *J Biomed Inform*, 112, 103621. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2020.103621

[6] Pickering BW, et al. (2025). Investigating Information Visualization to Combat Information Overload in Electronic Health Records: Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial. *JMIR Res Protoc*, 14(1), e74247. doi:10.2196/74247

[7] Choi E, et al. (2025). Supporting Clinical Reasoning Through Visual Summarization and Presentation of Patient Data: A Systematic Review. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*, 32(9), 1485. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocaf113

[8] Ravid Tannenbaum N, Gottesman O, Assadi A, Mazwi M, Shalit U, Eytan D (2023). iCVS—Inferring CardioVascular Hidden States from Physiological Signals Available at the Bedside. *PLoS Comput Biol*, 19(9), e1010835. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1010835

GET IN TOUCH

Ready to Design Smarter Clinical Interfaces?

Our team at Halo Lab specializes in digital UX design for complex, high-stakes healthcare environments. From hemodynamic visualization to clinical decision support dashboards, we build interfaces that reduce cognitive load and improve decision-making.

LET'S TALK →

EMAIL

sergiy@halo-lab.health

WEB

halo-lab.health